G.R.S. IX–5]

Previous references.
G m 80-19-153.

1923.
(muz.

File No. 88-23

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.
muz 88 23-5 B
" " 9-12
" 204 25 1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 2

Part B for October 23.

SUBJECT

Utilizing the services of the Architectural Assistant in connection with repairs and improvements to Muzrai Institutions – not included in the list of Ancient monuments selected by Govt for Special protection.

To the Muz: Comr, Comptr & Registrar, Mysore University.

G.O.No. 558-60/Attr dt 24-10-23

Approves of the proposal to utilize the services of the Architectural Asstt in connection with repairs and improvts to Muz: institutions of the class specified above, subject to sanction of Govt in each case.

G. R. S. 11] 1923-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mug)

NOTES.

File No. 88-23

FILE HEADING.

Utilization of the services of the Architectural Assistant to the Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore in connection with the reconstruction of Mug: institutions -

From

The Mug: Court in Mysore

C. No. 173 of 23-4 Dated 15-9-23 Received 17-9-23

Diary No. 345	Enclos	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that when the services of the Architectural Assistant are required in connection with the repairs of Mysore Institutions, his travelling allowance charges may be borne by the Mug: Deptt, as the University Registrar is of opinion that there is no provision to meet the same from the Deptt fund

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The [illegible] Architectural Assistant [illegible] the construction of a room of [illegible] Research Scholar [illegible] the Registrar, the Mysore

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 88 of 1923.

Serial No.

[illegible] that the [illegible] of the [illegible] Motor [illegible] for touring expenses in the Archaeological Deptt was not necessary to meet the [illegible] of the Architectural Asstt in the present connection -

2. [illegible] Rao Sahib's services [illegible] Saneftg the appointment of Mr Venkatesa Rao as Architectural Asstt, wherein it has been directed that the services of the said Venkatesa Rao may be utilised [illegible] for the preservation of Hindu institutions -

3 [illegible] "Architectural Survey Work", [illegible] Muzrai or non-Muzrai, whether in service or not, the M.C. states that the [illegible] of the Architectural Asstt will be met from the same source from which the [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

A. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 88 of 1923.

Serial No

4 The point raised for consideration of the orders is in the case of the inscriptions not included in the classes of "A" & monuments. With regard to such temples, the U.C. states that in view of the Archaeological Staff is not sufficient for, this T/A & other charges should be met from the entrance receipts of the Temple Muzrai Fund.

5) Submitted for orders.

29/9/23

6) The proposal of the Muzrai Commissioner may be approved.

6/22
25.9.23

2nd Member) 29/9/23

7) I agree to the above proposal but when the services of the Archaeological Asst are required in connection with the repairs & improvements to the structures (not included in the list of important monuments selected by him for special protection), the T.A. due for journeys performed in connection with such work may be met from the Endowments of the temples concerned.

8) Issue as WD 0561 - GPB—3750—1 8 22 in the attached covers to the Executive Officers with a request to keep the charges to the lowest possible. If necessary they may be referred to the Commissioner of

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. [illegible] of 192 .

Serial No.

[illegible]

4) Draft order submd. for approval

719
29.9.23.

28/9/23 28/9/23 2nd Memo 29/9/23

10) Draft appd. This may be submitted for the formal approval of the Dewan sb.

11) Draft approved by the Dewan

14 10

16 10 23.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on OCT 3 1923
Regn. No. 2238

R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 88 of 1923.

Serial No.	
2	No 538-50 dt 24/10/23 to the Commr., Cuddapah, & Registrar, Hindu Relg Instns Dept. Passes orders re the utilization of the services of Asstt. Assistants in Connection with the Construction of Mun. Institutions.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 6 22

C 173.9 23-6 one file 6

10174

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, the 15th September 1923.

Muz 88-23-Sl.1

From

K. Chanay, Esquire, B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Bl Muz 16 SEP 1923 G. D. SECTION

GEN. & REV SECRETARIAT 17 SEP 1923 MUZRAI

49345

T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for reference and kind return correspondence ending with letter C.No.11/23-24 dated 6th September 1923 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, - regarding the utilization of the services of the Architectural Assistant to the Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore in connection with the construction of a row of mantaps in the Sri Rama Devaru temple at Churchikatte in the Yedatore Taluk and to state as follows.--

2 While conveying administrative sanction to the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner to permit certain prominent and influential residents of the Yedatore Taluk to construct a row of mantaps in the inner prakara of the above temple for the use of the devotees and sevarthadars out of contributions collected by them, it was suggested in this office order .Dis.No.20 of 23-24 dated 31st July 1923 that the Architectural Assistant should be consulted "as to whether the proposed building is in keeping with the surroundings and will not in any way detract from the original plan and lay out of the temple".

3 On a requisition being made to the Registrar of the University for the services of the Architectural Assistant, that officer has intimated that, as "the temple in question is not one of

of monuments approved by Government and that as moreover the amount allotted in the budget for touring expenses is hardly sufficient this year for the work in hand in the Department of Archaeology", Mr. B. Venkoba Rao will be deputed to this work if the Muzrai Department will bear his touring expenses.

4 In sanctioning the appointment of Mr. B. Venkoba Rao, as Architectural Draftsman, Government have observed in their Order No. G.6906-69/G.M.80-19-3 dated 17th September 1919 that his services should also be utilized for work relating to the preservation of Muzrai Institutions. This apparently includes Muzrai Institutions not classified as "ancient monuments" and included in appendix I to Government Proceedings No.C.18265-325/G.M.44-20-9 dated 31st March 1921. In the case of institutions classed as "ancient monuments" whether Muzrai or non-Muzrai, whether in service or not, the travelling and other allowances of the Architectural Assistant will be met from the same source from which his pay is paid.

5 There are numerous other Institutions of much local importance and antiquity - which do not happen to be included in the list of ancient monuments - and the services of the Architectural Assistant in connection with their maintenance and repairs will be of great help and usefulness.

6 The question as to which Department should bear the touring expenses of the Architectural Assistant on such occasions arises for consideration.

7 For reasons explained by the Registrar of the Mysore University I am of opinion that the touring expenses of the Architectural Assistant whenever his services are indented in connection with the maintenance and repairs of Muzrai Institutions other than those mentioned in Appendix I to Government Order of 31st March 1921 are a legitimate charge upon the interest-earnings of the General Muzrai Fund - a major portion of which is primarily intended towards the cost of an Engineering Establishment and for special improvements to Muzrai Institutions by way of supplementing the existing State Grant.

8/-

8 Consequently on the abolition of the place of the Assistant Engineer and of the Draftsman, only a nominal expenditure is being incurred on account of the Engineering Establishment and a major portion of the amount, viz., Rs.7,820/- set apart for the purpose is being saved now.

9 I therefore solicit the sanction of Government to debit to the allotment made under "Cost of the Engineering Establishment" (vide para 3 (b) of Government Proceedings No.1103-13/R.F.599-13-2 dated 28th September 1913), the travelling expenses payable to the Architectural Assistant whenever his services are utilized in connection with Rural Institutions of the kind referred to above.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Chaudy

Rural Commissioner.

D.N.

Muz. 7]

SUBJECT.... ... .

. . .. = ...

....

Diary No of letter replied to.....345.....

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

the 192 .

Date of issue . 24.10.23

Signed by. Mr. T. Ramaiya

SECTION Muz.

FILE No. 27-7

SERIAL No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— ಬೆಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮುಇ-೪೨೭-೧೯೨೩-೨

೬/೧೭-೧೦/೨೩ ದಿನಾಂಕ 13.10.1923

ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಕೋರಿ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಪತ್ರ

ಈ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕೆಲವು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಸಂಘದವರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ

ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ

ಕೊಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ

ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ

ಕೋರಿ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಪತ್ರ.

Order Thereon No.

ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೨) ಪ್ರ ಇ ಸಂ. ಪ್ರ ಇ 12-65-೨೨೯/ಪ್ರ ಇ.

/೨೩-೧-೨೪ ದಿನಾಂಕ 21.12.1923

ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ಪತ್ರ ಹಾಗೂ

ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರು ಜತೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುವ

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

compd
23/10/23

VO

Instructions for their preservation.

(1) Letter No. 17, 23-4, 5-9-23
from the Minister for Industry
& Commerce, certain
proposals re. grading of the establishment
of the services of the Architectural
~~Draftsmen~~ Draughtsmen ~~employed~~ in the ~~Department~~
Department of Industry & Commerce
in connection with the maintenance
& repair of the Institutions,
other than those mentioned in
Appendix 2 to the 2nd Report
Orders and above -

Ordr. - 558-60/mur 38-23-2 true one file
of 24 10 23 Secretary

(2) A Return of the Wages
& Salaries paid to persons employed
& employed by the Minister to
date in the services of the Architectural
~~Draftsmen~~ Draughtsmen employed in connection with
the construction of a row of
houses in the Six Mile Square
Limerick at Glencairn-Castle in

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

66—2000—GPB—29-7-22

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

in the Cuddapah Taluk, is reported to have stated that as the temple in question was not on the list of monuments approved by Govt and that as moreover the allotment in the (annual) budget for touring expenses was hardly sufficient for the work in hand in the Department of Archaeology Mr. Venkoba Rao would be defended for the work, if the Muzrai Deptt bore his touring expenses. The Muz: Comr states that he is of opinion that the touring expenses of the Architectural Draftsman, whenever his services are indented for in connection with the maintenance and repairs of Muz: institutions other than those mentioned in Appendix I to G.O. of 31st March 21, may properly be met out of the interest-earnings of the Genl Muzrai Fund – a major portion of which is primarily intended to meet the cost of an Engineering

WF 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

12

Establishment and for special improvements to Mujrai institutions by way of supplementing the existing State grant.

2 Sport area to the Mug: Court's proposal that when the services of the Architectural ~~Assistant~~ Draftsman are requisitioned by the Mujrai Dept^t in connection with repairs or improvements to Mujrai Institutions — not included in the list of ancient monuments selected by Govt for special protection —, his Travelling allowance for journeys performed by him in connection with such work may be met from the Interest earnings of the Govt Mug. Fund.

3 ~~But as the control over this Fund rests in the Govt itself and~~ While a view to keep the charges to be debited to the Fund as low as possible, ~~it is not~~ [illegible]

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

that the services of the Draftsman Architectural ~~Assistant~~ & Court be utilized sparingly and only when there is absolute necessity therefor. Govt. therefore, direct that ~~their sanction be obtained~~ whenever the services of the Draftsman Architectural ~~Assistant~~ are required in connection with Mys: works the sanction of Govt. ~~be obtained~~ within his limits. over his ~~Estimate~~ ~~within~~ limits, ~~sanction~~ ~~must be obtained~~ by the Mys: Court and that the T.A. bills in respect of journeys performed by him be also sent ~~with his Countersignature~~ up to Govt. for sanction. ~~his~~ his countersignature

29/9

Secretary.

To

The Mys: Court in Mysore

" Comptr to Govt

" Registrar, Mysore University

Rev: Deptt

28/9/23

SD
28/9/23

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20